

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/731,955		12/10/2003	Clinton B. Carlisle	10021.001510 (P0225-1)	6785
	31894	7590	07/26/2005		EXAMINER	
	OKAMOTO	0 & BEN	NEDICTO, LLP		CONSILVIO, MARK J	
	P.O. BOX 641330				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SAN JOSE,	OSE, CA 95164		•		FAFER NOMBER
				2872		
				DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/731,955	CARLISLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Consilvio	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 December 2003</u> i)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	- · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	t					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/15/2004.	Paper No(s)	Immary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of the claim for benefit of Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of the United States provisional application 60/434,024.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 3/15/2004 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brophy et al. (US Patent No. 6,275,623) (herein "Brophy").

With respect claim 1, Brophy discloses an apparatus comprising: a polarization diversity module (30) configured to receive an input optical signal and output a first optical output signal

Application/Control Number: 10/731,955

Art Unit: 2872

and a second optical output signal, the first and second optical output signals having a same polarization state; and a light modulator configured to receive at least the first optical output signal (figs. 1 and 2).

With respect claim 2, Brophy discloses a collimator (24) configured to direct the optical input signal to a component of the polarization diversity module (30) (fig. 1).

With respect claim 3, Brophy discloses a birefringent crystal (32); and a polarization rotator (34) configured to change a polarization state of an optical output signal of the birefringent crystal such that the first and second optical output signals of the polarization diversity module have the same polarization state (fig. 2).

With respect claim 4, Brophy discloses the polarization rotator comprises a half-wave plate (fig. 2).

With respect claim 5, Brophy discloses the birefringent crystal comprises a yttrium vanadate crystal (col. 4, lines 63-65) (fig. 1).

With respect claim 9, Brophy discloses a diffraction grating (40) coupled along an optical path between the polarization diversity module (30) and the light modulator (50) (fig. 1).

With respect claim 10, Brophy discloses a transform lens (42) coupled along an optical path between the diffraction grating and the light modulator (fig. 1).

Claims 1 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stowe et al. (US Patent Application No. 2003/0223748) (herein "Stowe").

With respect to claim 1, Stowe discloses an apparatus comprising: a polarization diversity module (415, 413) configured to receive an input optical signal and output a first optical output

Application/Control Number: 10/731,955

Art Unit: 2872

signal and a second optical output signal, the first and second optical output signals having a same polarization state; and a light modulator (460) configured to receive at least the first optical output signal (fig. 4D).

With respect to claim 6, Stowe discloses the light modulator comprises a grating light valve.

With respect to claim 7, Stowe discloses the light modulator comprises a micro electromechanical system (MEMS) component (fig. 4D).

With respect to claim 8, Stowe discloses the MEMS component comprises an array of deflectable ribbon structures (500, 501, 502) configured to reflect or diffract incident light (fig. 5A-5D).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brophy et al. (US Patent No. 6,275,623).

With respect to claims 11-14 and 16, it is noted that the references do not expressly disclose the exact method of using the apparatus as limited by claims 1-3, 9, and 10. However, these method steps do not require any additional structure beyond that taught or suggested by the references and stated supra. Therefore, at the time the invention, it would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 10/731,955

Art Unit: 2872

one of ordinary skill in the art to perform this method in light of the structure taught by the references.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stowe et al. (US Patent Application No. 2003/0223748).

With respect to claims 6-8, it is noted that the references do not expressly disclose the exact method of using the apparatus as limited by claims 1 and 6-8. However, these steps do not require any additional structure beyond that taught or suggested by the references and stated supra. Therefore, at the time the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perform this method in light of the structure taught by the references.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Consilvio whose telephone number is (571) 272-2453. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,955 Page 6

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Consilvio USPTO Patent Examiner

Jefferson, 3C21 AU-2872 (571) 272-2453

DREW A. DUNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER